



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,939	11/02/2001	Gilles Bellaton	13220.004001; P5839	8875
32615	7590	01/14/2005	EXAMINER	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010			LIN, KELVIN Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/001,939	BELLATON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kelvin Lin	2142	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/25/02</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. In Claim 9 the phrase "external operation" lacks sufficient antecedent basis
2. The above noted problems are not necessarily an exhaustive listing, but are meant to be exemplary of the types of errors present. It is incumbent upon an applicant to ensure that any amendment filed resolves all deficiencies and places the claims in compliance with 35 USC 112.
3. Appropriate correction is required

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Byrne et al., (U.S. Patent 6708170).
3. Regarding claim 1, Byrne teaches a method to support access control checks in a directory server with a chaining backend, comprising:
- binding a user to a multiplexer (Byrne, col.5, l.12-14, “client program and authentication server is treated as a multiplexer between user and server”, col.5, l.30-31);
  - forwarding an authentication sequence from the multiplexer to a first remote server (Byrne, col.5, l.35-37) ;
  - binding the user to the first remote server (Byrne, col. 6, l.60);
  - authenticating the user if binding to the first remote server is successful (Byrne, Fig.5, Fig. 7, col.6, l. 62-63);
  - binding the multiplexer as a special user to a second remote server, wherein the second remote server holds target data (Byrne, col.5,

l.35-37, col.8, l.6-7, l.57);

- sending an operation and an original user identity from the user to the multiplexer (Byrne, col.7, l.50-55); and
- forwarding the operation from the multiplexer to the second remote server (Byrne, col.7, l.50-60) .

4. Regarding claim 2, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - retrieving an access control information statement from an access control list stored on the second remote server (Byrne, Fig. 4a, col.5, l.66-67, col.6, l.4-5); and
  - evaluating the operation by the second remote server using the access control statement of the user (Byrne, col.7, l.51-57).
5. Regarding claim 3, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 2, wherein the access control information statement is stored as an attribute of an entry on the second remote server (Byrne, col. 7, l.56-58).
6. Regarding claim 4, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the access control information statement comprises a target and an access control rule (Byrne, col. 7, l.52-54).
7. Regarding claim 5, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - retrieving an access control information statement from an access control list stored on the multiplexer (Byrne, col.5, l.55-65); and
  - evaluating the operation by the multiplexer using the access control statement of the user (Byrne, col.7, l.53-58).

Art Unit: 2142

8. Regarding claim 6, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the access control information statement is stored as an attribute of an entry on the multiplexer (Byrne, col.8, l.37-39).
9. Regarding claim 7, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 6, wherein the access control information statement comprises a target and an access control rule (Byrne, col. 7, l.20).
10. Regarding claim 8, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein forwarding the authentication sequence to the first remote server occurs when a realm value sent in a digest challenge is not interpreted by the directory server, a target host name field of a digest response is not checked by the directory server, and the first remote server is part of a common realm (Byrne, col. 7, l.31-35).
11. Regarding claim 9, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the operation comprises an internal operation portion and an external operation portion (Byrne, col.8, l.13-15).
12. Regarding claim 10, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 9, further comprising: chaining the internal operation portion based on an identity of a component issuing the internal operation (Byrne, col.5, l.27-34).
13. Regarding claim 11, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 2, further comprising: enabling retrieval of the access control information statement on a remote server basis (Byrne, col.8, l.9-12).
14. Regarding claim 12, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 2, further

comprising: disabling retrieval of the access control information statement on a remote server basis (Byrne, col.6, l.65-67).

15. Regarding claim 13, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 6, further comprising: retrieving the entry to evaluate an access control list on the multiplexer (Byrne, col.8, l.17-20).
16. Regarding claim 14, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the entry comprises a user (Byrne, col.7, l.54).
17. Regarding claim 15, Byrne further discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the entry comprises a group (Byrne, col. 7, l.55).
18. Regarding claims 16-18 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, and 5.  
Therefore, claims 16-18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, and 5.
19. Regarding claims 16-18 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, and 5.  
Therefore, claims 16-18 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, and 5.
20. Regarding claims 19-21 have similar limitations as claims 1-2, and 5.  
Therefore, claims 19-21 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-2, and 5.



### ***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to application's disclosure.

- Underwood R., (Patent No. 6601223) Business Components Framework.
- Freeman et al., (Patent No. 6789112) Method and Apparatus For Administering A Server Having A Subsystem In Communication With An Event Channel.
- Garrison et al., (Patent No. 6714930) Light Weight Directory Access Protocol, (LDAP) Trusted Processing of Unique Identifiers.
- Ambrosini et al., (Patent No. 6609121) Light Weight Directory Access Protocol, Interface to Directory Assistance Systems.
- IEEE – Yang et al., Design and Implementation of SecureWeb-based LDAP Management System, Information Networking, 2001, Proceedings, 15<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Jan – 2 Feb. 2001, pp. 259-264.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 571-272-3896. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1/8/05  
KYL

  
JACK D. HARVEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER